

Expanding the Grid: How Big and Who Pays?

Summit on Maine's Economy and Climate Change

May 19,2023

William Harwood

Maine Public Advocate





- Merchant transmission Lines who's minding the store?
- FERC vs. PUC regulation?
- Northern Maine transmission line regulated or unregulated?



PUC CPCN Cases

- Traditional standard Will rates be lower if line is built than if line is not built?
- What about environmental and aesthetic benefits?
- Conditions to PUC Approval
 - How many and how broad?
 - Is paying for these conditions simply a cost of doing business in Maine?
 - How should they be counted toward whether approval is justified?



Non-wire Alternatives (NWAs)

Should utilities be allowed to own and operate NWAs?

Expanding the Grid: What Functions, Flexibility, Costs?

Climate Work Summit on Maine's Economy & Climate Change



David Littell Chair, Climate Change Practice Group Energy & Environmental Practice Group Friday, May 19, 2023

Climate Action and Grid Needs

In Maine, we should make sure every ratepayer* dollar is spent efficiently and effectively to make the best investments for customers* to:

- · Improve reliability and service,
- · Build grid scale solar and wind,
- · Support distributed resources,
- · Improve efficiency and electrify, and
- · Support Maine's future and economic growth.

*rate payers must pay rates for service; customers receive benefits and choose how to spend their customer dollars

What Maine needs to make climate progress

- Better **planning** < scattershot
 - Long term integrated grid planning will help.
- More cooperation > contention
 - Governmental power is a huge diversion from climate progress.
- A plan is directional
 - Good plans are flexible.
 - Plans channel effort, spending, and training.

Factoids

Versant is working on 432 active projects to integrate 500+ megawatts of solar, wind.

Solar requests alone would generate more electricity than the Versant system needs.

Advanced Technology is expensive > use to pursue value

- Grid Value
- Customer Value
- Utility Value

Good policy alignsall three!

With technology and policy evolving, and processes changing – Maine will likely remain in problem -solving mode.

Advanced Energy Planning: New Approaches

- Transmission and distribution planning (traditional "supply side") is understood.
- Demand-side management "DSM" is newer.
- Four DSM capabilities to exploit:
 - Shape load
 - Shift load
 - Shed load
 - Shimmy
- Pricing (rate design) is an effective approach to shape, shift, or shed load.
 - Versant seasonal heating rates
 - 13% customer adoption
 - Versant offers time-variant EV charging rates
 - Versant residential customers can use both heating and EV rates with different meters
 - No additional monthly minimum charge



Potential Study, LBNL, November 2016

In Maine, we should make sure that very ratepayer dollar is spent efficiently and effectively to make the best investments for customers to:

- · Improve reliability and service
- · Build grid scale solar and wind
- · Support distributed resources
- · Improve efficiency and electrify; and
- · Support Maine's future and economic growth.

Contact Information

David P. Litte ll, Esq. +1207-228-7156 Dlitte ll@Bernste in Shur.com

COMPETITIVE ENERGY SERVICES, LLC



ClimateWork Maine Richard Silkman, Ph.D.



May 19, 2023

COMPETITIVE-ENERGY.COM

866.408.4591



Rethinking Electric Grid Design to Meet Beneficial Electrification and Enhanced Distributed Generation

A Portland Area Case Study



GridSolar, LLC May 2020 What is required for Maine to achieve a zero-carbon economy through full beneficial electrification and maximum build-out of distributed solar generation

https://www.competitive-energy.com/rethinking-electrical-grid-design



Portland Region – Electric Grid





Modeling Energy Use:

- Building-by-Building every single parcel in the region.
- Data obtained from tax records in every community and from GIS mapping
- Circuit Data from CMP

Table 3-1 Summary of Buildings in the Portland Area

| Residential Buildings | Commercial Buildings | Industrial Buildings | Total |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| 73,000 | 6,167 | 1,003 | 80,170 |
| 209,782,673 | 67,793,059 | 18,802,851 | 296,378,583 |
| 2,874 | 10,993 | 18,747 | 10,871 |
| | Residential Buildings 73,000 209,782,673 2,874 | Residential Buildings Commercial Buildings 73,000 6,167 209,782,673 67,793,059 2,874 10,993 | Residential Buildings Commercial Buildings Industrial Buildings 73,000 6,167 1,003 209,782,673 67,793,059 18,802,851 2,874 10,993 18,747 |

Current Energy Use – by Fuel and by Sector





4



Table 4-1 Summary – Electricity Use by End-Use Sector Under Beneficial Electrification

| Load Type | Total Loads (MWh) | Maximum Demand (MW) | Capacity Factor % |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Current Electricity Use | 1,680,233 | 271 | 71% |
| Total Heating | 1,140,843 | 738 | 18% |
| Residential AC | 110,542 | 132 | 10% |
| Total Process | 583,248 | 123 | 54% |
| Total EV Charging | 613,343 | 145 | 48% |
| Total Loads | 4,128,208 | 1,086 | 43% |

Note: Demand levels shown are for each Load Type, respectively. Demand levels for Total Loads are the coincident demands across all load types.

Overall load factor decreases markedly due to conversion of heating systems to electricity

Electricity use - 246% higher Peak Demand - 400% higher



Maximum Build-out of Rooftop Solar in Region



Install Rooftop Solar on Every Building/Structure in the Portland Region – with full build-out achieved in 2050:

Capacity: 1,011 MW Energy: 1,590,280 MWh Coverage: 27% of all rooftop surface

39% of Total Electricity Use in the Region by 2050

| Table 5-1 Installed Solar PV | Generation | n – Portland Area | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Residential | | | | | |
| Pct. Of Bldgs with Solar PV | % | 0% | 1% | 33% | 100% |
| Total Bldg. Footprint | Sq.Ft. | 127,783,933 | 127,783,933 | 127,783,933 | 127,783,933 |
| Number of Solar Panels | No. | 0 | 19,415 | 582,463 | 1,765,038 |
| Maximum Hourly Generation | MW | 0.00 | 6.12 | 183.61 | 556.41 |
| Pct. Of Rooftop Covered | % | 0.00% | 0.28% | 8.47% | 25.68% |
| Annual Solar Generation | MWh | 0 | 10,691 | 320,736 | 971,929 |
| Commercial | | | | | |
| Pct. Of Bldgs with Solar PV | % | 0% | 1% | 33% | 100% |
| Total Bldg. Footprint | Sq.Ft. | 50,412,359 | 50,412,359 | 50,412,359 | 50,412,359 |
| Number of Solar Panels | No. | 0 | 8,547 | 256,403 | 776,978 |
| Maximum Hourly Generation | MW | 0.00 | 2.75 | 82.62 | 250.35 |
| Pct. Of Rooftop Covered | % | 0.00% | 0.32% | 9.46% | 28.65% |
| Annual Solar Generation | MWh | 0 | 5,027 | 150,812 | 457,005 |
| Industrial | | | | | |
| Pct. Of Bldgs with Solar PV | % | 0% | 1% | 33% | 100% |
| Total Bldg. Footprint | Sq.Ft. | 17,342,593 | 17,342,593 | 17,342,593 | 17,342,593 |
| Number of Solar Panels | No. | 0 | 2,932 | 87,961 | 266,548 |
| Maximum Hourly Generation | MW | 0.00 | 0.96 | 28.79 | 87.24 |
| Pct. Of Rooftop Covered | % | 0.00% | 0.31% | 9.43% | 28.57% |
| Annual Solar Generation | MWh | 0 | 1,775 | 53,244 | 161,347 |
| TOTAL - All Buildings | | | | | |
| Total Bldg. Footprint | Sq.Ft. | 195,538,885 | 195,538,885 | 195,538,885 | 195,538,885 |
| Number of Solar Panels | No. | 0 | 30,894 | 926,826 | 2,808,565 |
| Maximum Hourly Generation | MW | 0.00 | 9.83 | 295.02 | 894.00 |
| Pct. Of Rooftop Covered | % | 0.00% | 0.29% | 8.81% | 26.70% |
| Annual Solar Generation | MWh | 0 | 17,493 | 524,792 | 1,590,280 |

Energy Balances/Imbalances by Building



Table 6-1 Annual Building Energy Balances – 2050

| | Estimated Building Energy Balances - 2050 | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| Building Type | [Rooftop Solar PV Generation as a Percent of Building Energy Use] | | | | | |
| | <20% | 20-40% | 40-60% | 60-80% | 80-100% | >100% |
| Residential | | | | | | |
| No. of Bldgs. | 20,266 | 13,719 | 11,937 | 9,001 | 7,671 | 10,408 |
| Percent | 28% | 19% | 16% | 12% | 11% | 14% |
| Cum. Percent | 28% | 47% | 63% | 75% | 86% | 100% |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| No. of Bldgs. | 1,542 | 1,314 | 2,028 | 957 | 249 | 77 |
| Percent | 25% | 21% | 33% | 16% | 4% | 1% |
| Cum. Percent | 25% | 46% | 79% | 95% | 99% | 100% |
| Industrial | | | | | | |
| No. of Bldgs. | 400 | 593 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Percent | 40% | 59% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Cum. Percent | 40% | 99% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Distribution Grid – Reliability Issues





Virtually every circuit in the region is undersized to carry peak loads and will experience significant reverse power flows ...

Distribution Grid – Reliability Issues



Figure 6-4 Max. Loads by 34/12.5 kV Transformer - 2020 - 2050 as a Pct. of High Ratings



The same problem exists for transformer capacity at the region's substations – by 2040, most will be well-undersized to meet future peak loads.

We will need to see a complete redesign and rebuilding of the region's Distribution Grid.

Electricity Flow – Current Imbalances







With essentially no Distributed Generation today, all of the region's electricity is imported. Hour-by-hour variation, however, is relatively small due to high annual load factor of current end uses of electricity.

Electricity Flow – Current Imbalances





By 2050, the region's grid must be capable of importing 1,000 MW of power – and this is true regardless of how much distributed solar is installed.

Storage could help – but it must be seasonal storage. Diurnal storage provides very little relief.

Transmission Grid Upgrade Costs



Table 8-6 Summary – Estimated Cost of Transmission/Subtransmission Upgrades - 2050

| Estimated Transmission/Subtransmission | | Cost | | |
|--|-----|-------|--------------|--|
| 345 kV System | No. | Miles | (millions\$) | |
| New 345 kV Substations | 3 | | \$318.12 | |
| 345 kV Line - Overhead | | 66 | \$424.96 | |
| 345 kV line - Undersea | | 18 | \$231.80 | |
| Subtotal | | | \$974.88 | |
| 115 kV System | | | | |
| New 115 kV Substations | 4 | | \$218.32 | |
| 345 kV Line - Overhead | | 84 | \$293.49 | |
| 345 kV line - Undersea | | 1 | \$8.42 | |
| Subtotal | | | \$520.23 | |
| 34.5 kV System | | | | |
| New 115 kV/34.5 kV Substations | 25 | | \$556.97 | |
| 34.5 kV Line - Overhead | | 225 | \$492.05 | |
| 34.5 kV line - Undersea | | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Subtotal | | _ | \$1,049.03 | |
| Total Transmission/Subtransmission | | _ | \$2,544.13 | |

The total costs for the transmission upgrades required to serve 2050 electrical loads in the Portland Region will be roughly \$2.5 billion in 2020\$.

The Distribution cost upgrades are on top of this amount.

Grid Upgrade – Land Requirements



Table 8-7 Land-Use Consequences of Electric Grid Build-Out

| | | Width | Length | Area |
|--------------------|-----|-------|---------|---------|
| Transmission Lines | | (ft.) | (miles) | (acres) |
| 345 kV Lines | | 150 | 66 | 1,200 |
| 115 kV Lines | | 100 | 84 | 1,018 |
| 34.5 kV Lines | | 50 | 225 | 1,364 |
| Subtotal | | | | 3,582 |
| | | | | |
| | | Width | Length | Area |
| | No. | (ft.) | (ft.) | (acres) |
| Substations | | | | |
| 345 kV Lines | 3 | 1,200 | 1,700 | 140 |
| 115 kV Lines | 4 | 450 | 450 | 19 |
| 115 kV/34.5 kV | 25 | 200 | 200 | 23 |
| Subtotal | | | | 182 |
| Total Land Area | | | | 3,764 |

Most of the land requirements for the upgrades are for linear corridors.

To put this acreage in perspective, the I-295/I-95 corridor from Freeport to Scarborough takes up a little less than 1,000 acres.



THANK YOU



COMPETITIVE-ENERGY.COM

866.408.4591

DYNAMIC GRID The \$10 Trillion Problem

Estimates from:

*Gupta etal, Spatial analysis of distribution grid capacity and costs to enable massive deployment of PV, electric mobility and electric heating, University of Geneva, and

1898 Company (Burnes and McDonnel), Doug Houseman, Internal research

DYNAMIC S GRID The Challenge

Why Now? Rapid growth in DER and Electrification.

Regulatory solutions are happening too slowly

Who Cares? DER and Electrification creates new system dynamics

Why it matters? need to speed the new solutions, or decarbonization will fail

DYNAMIC @ GRID Slaying the Distribution Dragon

DYNAMIC S GRID Systems Architecture

Apply 'present forward' + 'future back' systems thinking and analysis to evaluate grid expansion proposals so that the grid can function holistically.



DYNAMIC S GRID Grid Architecture

Grid Architecture is critical for making key structural choices to enable a more intelligent, selfoptimising power system for the 21st century



Affordability, quality and resilience outcomes for all

Societal /

Environmental

Benefits

New offerings for customers

Enhanced decarbonization

Enhanced equity

DYNAMIC SGRID

Operational Coordination

Operational Coordination requires interaction between both markets and control structures

Economists "Get the market rules and prices right and everything will work fine"

✓ Solution:

An ensemble of both market and control features is required

Control Engineers "Get the algorithms and standards right and everything will work fine"

| Markets | | | | Controls | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------|---|----------|--------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| Long-t & II | erm Planni nvestment | ing R S | Residual & Real-time System Optimization | | e n | System Operations | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| years | monthly | day ahead | hourly | 15 min | 5 min | 1 min | 1 sec | Sub-cycle |

Image / Concept: Newport Consulting and Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (Adapted)